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## Solutions Chapter 7

## SECTION 7.3

### 7.3.1 (Partial Proximal Algorithm [BeT94a], [IbF96]) www

Fix any $x \in \Re^{n}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x} \in \arg \min _{y \in \Re^{n}}\left\{f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\tilde{x}_{i}= \begin{cases}x_{i} & \forall i \in I  \tag{2}\\ \bar{x}_{i} & \forall i \notin I .\end{cases}
$$

We will show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{x}=\arg \min _{y \in \Re^{n}}\left\{f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c}\|y-\tilde{x}\|^{2}\right\},  \tag{3}\\
\tilde{x} \in \arg \min _{\left\{y \mid y_{i}=x_{i}, i \in I\right\}} F_{c}(y) . \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Indeed, from the definition of $\tilde{x}$, the vector $\bar{x}$ minimizes not only $f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}$ but also $\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \notin I}\left(y_{i}-\tilde{x}_{i}\right)^{2}$, implying that $\bar{x}$ minimizes the sum, which is $f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c}\|y-\tilde{x}\|^{2}$. This proves Eq. (3).

To prove Eq. (4), note that for all vectors $z \in \Re^{n}$ with $z_{i}=x_{i}$ for all $i \in I$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{c}(z) & =\min _{y \in \Re^{n}}\left\{f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \notin I}\left(y_{i}-z_{i}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \geq \min _{y \in \Re^{n}}\left\{f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& =f(\bar{x})+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(\bar{x}_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& =f(\bar{x})+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(\bar{x}_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \notin I}\left(\bar{x}_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& \geq F_{c}(\tilde{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the definitions of $\tilde{x}$ and $F_{c}$. This proves Eq. (4).
Conversely, suppose that $\bar{x}$ and $\tilde{x}$ satisfy (3) and (4). We will show that Eqs. (1) and (2) hold. Indeed, Eq. (4) implies that $x_{i}=\tilde{x}_{i}$ for all $i \in I$, and that $\partial F_{c}(\tilde{x}) / \partial x_{i}=0$ for all $i \notin I$,
so from Eq. (3) we have $\bar{x}_{i}=\tilde{x}_{i}$ for all $i \notin I$. Thus Eq. (2) holds. To show Eq. (1), we argue by contradiction. Suppose that for some $z \in \Re^{n}$ we have

$$
f(z)+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(z_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}<f(\bar{x})+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(\bar{x}_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2} .
$$

Then the directional derivative of the function $y \mapsto f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}$ at $\bar{x}$ along the direction $z-\bar{x}$ is negative. This directional derivative is equal to the directional derivative of the function $y \mapsto f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \notin I}\left(y_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2}$ at $\bar{x}$ along the direction $z-\bar{x}$. The latter directional derivative, however, is nonnegative in view of Eqs. (2) and (3), arriving at a contradiction. This proves Eq. (1).
(b) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\bar{x}) & \leq f(\bar{x})+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(\bar{x}_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& =\min _{y \in \Re^{n}}\left\{f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c} \sum_{i \in I}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq \min _{y \in \Re^{n}}\left\{f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c}\|y-x\|^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the expression in the right-hand side of the second inequality is equal to $F_{c}(x)$, we obtain

$$
f(\bar{x}) \leq F_{c}(x) \leq f(x)
$$

Since, we also have $F_{c}(\bar{x}) \leq f(\bar{x})$, the desired result follows.

## SECTION 7.5

### 7.5.1 (Convergence of the Subgradient Method [Pol69b]) www

(a) Let $\mu^{*}$ be a dual optimal solution. Similar to the proof of Prop. 7.5.1, we obtain

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 s^{k}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

where $q^{*}=q\left(\mu^{*}\right)$. Since $s^{k}=\frac{q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|<\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|, \quad \forall k,
$$

implying that $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ is bounded.
(b) Let $C$ be a positive constant such that $\left\|g^{k}\right\| \leq C$ for all $k$. Then from Eq. (1) it follows that

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)^{2}}{C^{2}} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall k .
$$

By summing these inequalities over all $k$, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{C^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{0}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right)=q^{*} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ is bounded, there exist a vector $\hat{\mu}$ and a subsequence $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \subset\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ converging to $\hat{\mu} \in M$ (set $M$ is closed). By using the upper-semicontinuity of $q$, we have

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty, k \in \mathcal{K}} q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \leq q(\hat{\mu}) \leq q^{*}
$$

which in view of Eq. (2) implies that $q(\hat{\mu})=q^{*}$. Thus every limit point of $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ is optimal.
Now we show that $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ actually converges. Let $M^{*}$ denote the set of all dual optimal solutions. Note that $M^{*}$ is convex (by concavity of $q$ ) and closed (by upper-semicontinuity of $q$ ). Suppose that $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ has two distinct limit points, say $\hat{\mu} \in M^{*}$ and $\tilde{\mu} \in M^{*}$. As seen in (a), for any $\mu^{*} \in M^{*}$, the sequence $\left\{\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|\right\}$ decreases monotonically, and therefore it converges. Hence $\left\|\hat{\mu}-\mu^{*}\right\|=\left\|\tilde{\mu}-\mu^{*}\right\|$ for all $\mu^{*} \in M^{*}$, implying that $\tilde{\mu}=\hat{\mu}$.
(c) Let $q$ be real-valued and concave over the entire space $\Re^{r}$. According to Prop. B. 24 of Appendix B, since $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ is bounded, the set $\cup_{k \geq 0} \partial q\left(\mu^{k}\right)$ is bounded, and so is $\left\{g^{k}\right\}$.

### 7.5.2 (A Convergent Variation of the Subgradient Method) www

(a) Let $\tilde{q}$ be an underestimate of $q^{*}$ such that $q\left(\mu^{k}\right)<\tilde{q} \leq q^{*}$. Consider the function $\bar{q}(\mu)=$ $\min \{q(\mu), \tilde{q}\}$. Note that $\bar{q}$ is concave and that $\max _{\mu \in M} \bar{q}(\mu)=\tilde{q}$. The proposed method is obtained by applying the method described in Exercise 7.5 .1 to the problem $\max _{\mu \in M} \bar{q}(\mu)$. The algorithm will either stop at some iteration $\bar{k}$ for which $\bar{q}\left(\mu^{\bar{k}}\right)=\tilde{q}\left[\right.$ i.e., $\left.q\left(\mu^{\bar{k}}\right) \geq \tilde{q}\right]$ or generate a sequence $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ such that $\bar{q}\left(\mu^{k}\right)=q\left(\mu^{k}\right)<\tilde{q}$ for all $k$. According to the results of Exercise 7.5.1, the sequence $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ is bounded. Furthermore, provided that $\left\{g^{k}\right\}$ is bounded, the sequence $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ converges to some point $\bar{\mu}$ such that $\bar{q}(\bar{\mu})=\tilde{q}$. Since $q(\bar{\mu}) \geq \bar{q}(\bar{\mu})$, we have $q(\bar{\mu}) \geq \tilde{q}$.
(b) Let $\tilde{q}$ be an overestimate of $q^{*}$, and let $L$ be a constant such that $\left\|g^{k}\right\| \leq L$ for all $k$. Then for any $N>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{N} s^{k}\left\|g^{k}\right\| & =\sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{\tilde{q}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left(\tilde{q}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left(\tilde{q}-q^{*}\right) \\
& =\frac{(N+1)\left(\tilde{q}-q^{*}\right)}{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \leq q^{*}$ for all $k$. Since $\tilde{q}>q^{*}$, by taking limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the expression above, we obtain the desired result.

### 7.5.3 (Convergence Rate of the Subgradient Method)

(a) To obtain a contradiction, suppose that $\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{k}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)>0$. Then there is an $\epsilon>0$ and large enough $\bar{k}$ such that $\sqrt{k}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right) \geq \epsilon$ for all $k \geq \bar{k}$. Therefore

$$
\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)^{2} \geq \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{k}, \quad \forall k \geq \bar{k}
$$

implying that

$$
\sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{\infty}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)^{2} \geq \epsilon^{2} \sum_{k=\bar{k}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}=\infty
$$

which contradicts the relation

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)^{2}<\infty
$$

shown in solution of Exercise 7.5.1.
(b) As seen in Exercise 7.5.1, we have for all dual optimal solutions $\mu^{*}$ and all $k$

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}
$$

This relation and the inequality $q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \geq a\left\|\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right\|$ yield for all $k$

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\frac{a^{2}\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}
$$

from which, by using $\sup _{k \geq 0}\left\|g^{k}\right\| \leq b$, we obtain

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\frac{a^{2}}{b^{2}}\right)\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}
$$

and the desired relation follows.

### 7.5.4 (A Variation of the Subgradient Method [CFM75]) www

Let $\mu^{*}$ be an optimal point and $q^{*}=q\left(\mu^{*}\right)$ be the optimal value. By induction, we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} d^{k} \geq\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} g^{k}, \quad \forall k \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $d^{0}=g^{0}$ and Eq. (1) holds for $k=0$. Assuming Eq. (1) holds for $k$, we will prove it for $k+1$. Since $d^{k+1}=g^{k+1}+\beta^{k} d^{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} d^{k+1} & =\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} g^{k+1}+\beta^{k}\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} d^{k} \\
& =\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} g^{k+1}+\beta^{k}\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} d^{k}+\beta^{k}\left(\mu^{k}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} d^{k} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By the concavity of $q$, the fact $g^{k} \in \partial q\left(\mu^{k}\right)$, and the stepsize definition $s^{k} \leq \frac{q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)}{\left\|d^{k}\right\|^{2}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} g^{k} \geq q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \geq s^{k}\left\|d^{k}\right\|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inductive hypothesis together with Eq. (3) implies that

$$
\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} d^{k} \geq s^{k}\left\|d^{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

Substituting this estimate in Eq. (2) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} d^{k+1} & \geq\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} g^{k+1}+\beta^{k} s^{k}\left\|d^{k}\right\|^{2}+\beta^{k}\left(\mu^{k}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} d^{k} \\
& =\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} g^{k+1}+\beta^{k}\left(s^{k} d^{k}+\mu^{k}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} d^{k} \\
& \geq\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k+1}\right)^{\prime} g^{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the properties of projection and the definition of the method. Hence Eq. (1) holds for all $k$.

Next we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|<\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|, \quad \forall k \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Eq. (3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|d^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq s^{k}\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} g^{k}<2 s^{k}\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} g^{k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|\mu^{k}+s^{k} d^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 s^{k}\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} d^{k}+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|d^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& <\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 s^{k}\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} d^{k}+2 s^{k}\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} g^{k} \\
& =\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 s^{k}\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime}\left(d^{k}-g^{k}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first inequality follows from the nonexpansiveness property of the projection, and the second and the last inequalities follow from Eqs. (5) and (1), respectively. Thus Eq. (4) holds. From the definitions of $d^{k}$ and $\beta^{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|d^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|g^{k}+\beta^{k} d^{k-1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(\beta^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|d^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+2 \beta^{k} g^{k^{\prime}} d^{k-1} \\
& =\beta^{k}\left\|d^{k-1}\right\|^{2}\left(\beta^{k}+2 \frac{g^{k^{\prime}} d^{k-1}}{\left\|d^{k-1}\right\|^{2}}\right) \\
& =(2-\gamma) \beta^{k} g^{k^{\prime}} d^{k-1} \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore $\left\|d^{k}\right\| \leq\left\|g^{k}\right\|$, which combined with Eq. (1) implies that

$$
\frac{\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} d^{k}}{\left\|d^{k}\right\|} \geq \frac{\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} g^{k}}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|}, \quad \forall k
$$

### 7.5.8 (Approximate Subgradient Method) www

As in the proof of Prop. 7.5.1, we have

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu\right\|^{2}-2 s^{k} g^{k^{\prime}}\left(\mu-\mu^{k}\right)+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall \mu \in M
$$

where $s^{k}=\frac{q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}$ and $g^{k} \in \partial_{\epsilon} q\left(\mu^{k}\right)$. From this relation and the definition of the $\epsilon$ subgradient we obtain

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu\right\|^{2}-2 s^{k}\left(q(\mu)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)-\epsilon\right)+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall \mu \in M
$$

Let $\mu^{*}$ be an optimal solution. Substituting the expression for $s^{k}$ and taking $\mu=\mu^{*}$ in the above inequality, we have

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\frac{q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}\left(q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)-2 \epsilon\right)
$$

Thus, if $q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)-2 \epsilon>0$, we obtain

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|
$$

### 7.5.9 (Approximate Subgradient Method with Diminishing Stepsize

## [CoL94]) www

(a) Similar to Exercise 7.5 .8 , we can show that

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu\right\|^{2}-2 s^{k}\left(q(\mu)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)-\epsilon^{k}\right)+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall \mu \in M, \quad \forall k
$$

By rearranging terms, we can rewrite the above inequality as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s^{k}\left(q(\mu)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)-\epsilon^{k}-\frac{1}{2} s^{k}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}\right)+\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall \mu \in M, \quad \forall k \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right)<\sup _{\mu \in M} q(\mu)-\epsilon$, i.e., there is a scalar $\delta>0$ and a nonnegative integer $k_{0}$ such that

$$
q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \leq \sup _{\mu \in M} q(\mu)-\epsilon-\delta, \quad \forall k \geq k_{0}
$$

Choose a point $\bar{\mu} \in M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \leq q(\bar{\mu})-\epsilon-\delta, \quad \forall k \geq k_{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting $\mu=\bar{\mu}$ in Eq. (1) and combining it with Eq. (2), we obtain

$$
2 s^{k}\left(\epsilon+\delta-\epsilon^{k}-\frac{1}{2} s^{k}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}\right)+\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{0}
$$

Since $\epsilon^{k} \rightarrow \epsilon$ and $s^{k}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$, we can assume that $k_{0}$ is large enough so that

$$
\epsilon+\delta-\epsilon^{k}-\frac{1}{2} s^{k}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{0}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
s^{k} \delta+\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{0}
$$

Summation of the above inequalities gives

$$
\delta \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{N} s^{k}+\left\|\mu^{N+1}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k_{0}}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2}
$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the relation above yields $\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} s^{k}<\infty$, which is a contradiction. Therefore we must have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \geq \sup _{\mu \in M} q(\mu)-\epsilon \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \leq \sup _{\mu \in M} q(\mu)$ for all $k$, we have

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \leq \sup _{\mu \in M} q(\mu)
$$

which combined with Eq. (3) yields the desired result.
(b) By rearranging the terms and setting $\epsilon^{k}=0$ in Eq. (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s^{k}\left(q(\mu)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu\right\|^{2}+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall \mu \in M, k \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume, to arrive at a contradiction, that $\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right)<\sup _{\mu \in M} q(\mu)$. Then, by the same reasoning as in part (a), it can be seen that there is a point $\bar{\mu} \in M$ such that Eq. (2) is valid with $\epsilon=0$. This together with Eq. (4), where $\mu=\bar{\mu}$, implies that

$$
2 s^{k} \delta+\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2}+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{0}
$$

Summation of these inequalities over $k$ for $k_{0} \leq k \leq N$ gives

$$
2 \delta \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{N} s^{k}+\left\|\mu^{N+1}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k_{0}}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{N}\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall N \geq k_{0}
$$

Therefore

$$
2 \delta \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{N} s^{k} \leq\left\|\mu^{k_{0}}-\bar{\mu}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty}\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}<\infty, \quad \forall N \geq k_{0}
$$

By letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the above relation, we obtain $\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} s^{k}<\infty$, which is a contradiction. Hence

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right)=\sup _{\mu \in M} q(\mu)
$$

Let $\mu^{*}$ be an optimal point. By setting $\mu=\mu^{*}$ in Eq. (4) and summing the obtained inequalities for $n \leq k \leq N$, we have

$$
2 \sum_{k=n}^{N} s^{k}\left(q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+\left\|\mu^{N+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{n}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=n}^{N}\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall n \leq k \leq N
$$

where $n<N$ are some positive integers. Let $n$ be fixed and let $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mu^{N+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{n}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ is bounded. Let $\left\{\mu^{k_{j}}\right\} \subset\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ be a subsequence such that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k_{j}}\right)=\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right)=\sup _{\mu \in M} q(\mu)=q\left(\mu^{*}\right)
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left\{\mu^{k_{j}}\right\}$ converges to some point $\hat{\mu}$. The set $M$ is closed, so that $\hat{\mu} \in M$. By the upper semicontinuity of $q$, we have

$$
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k_{j}}\right) \leq q(\hat{\mu})
$$

Since $\lim \sup _{j \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k_{j}}\right)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k_{j}}\right)=q\left(\mu^{*}\right)$, the relation above implies $q(\hat{\mu})=q\left(\mu^{*}\right)$. Thus $\hat{\mu}$ is optimal. Then Eq. (5) is valid with $\mu^{*}=\hat{\mu}$ and $n=k_{j}$ for some $j$, i.e.,

$$
\limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mu^{N+1}-\hat{\mu}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k_{j}}-\hat{\mu}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=k_{j}}^{\infty}\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

By letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ and taking into account that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|\mu^{k_{j}}-\hat{\mu}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=n_{j}}^{\infty}\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}\right)=0$ (since the boundedness of $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ implies boundedness of $\left\{g^{k}\right\}$ ), we obtain

$$
\limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mu^{N+1}-\hat{\mu}\right\|=0
$$

which implies that $\mu^{k} \rightarrow \hat{\mu}$.

### 7.5.10 (Normalized Subgradient Method [Sho85]) www

(a) Let $\mu^{*}$ be an optimal point and let $\epsilon>0$ be given. If $g^{\bar{k}}=0$ for some $\bar{k}$, then $q\left(\mu^{\bar{k}}\right)=q\left(\mu^{*}\right)$ and one may take $\bar{\mu}=\mu^{*}$. If $g^{k} \neq 0$ for all $k$, then by the nonexpansiveness of the projection operation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}+\frac{\alpha g^{k}}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}+\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} \frac{g^{k}}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|}, \quad \forall k \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the term $\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} g^{k} /\left\|g^{k}\right\|$ represents the distance from $\mu^{*}$ to the supporting hyperplane $H_{k}=\left\{\mu \mid g^{k^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{k}-\mu\right)=0\right\}$. Define $L_{k}=\left\{\mu \in M \mid q(\mu)=q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right\}$. Since $q$ is concave and real valued over the entire space, it is continuous over $\Re^{r}$. Therefore $L_{k}$ is closed, and the distance

$$
\rho^{k}=\min _{\mu \in L_{k}}\left\|\mu-\mu^{*}\right\|
$$

from $\mu^{*}$ to $L_{k}$ is well defined. Also the set $L_{k}$ and the vector $\mu^{*}$ lie on the same side of the hyperplane $H_{k}$. Hence every line segment joining $\mu^{*}$ with a point of $H_{k}$ passes through $L_{k}$, and therefore

$$
\left(\mu^{*}-\mu^{k}\right)^{\prime} \frac{g^{k}}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|} \geq \rho^{k}, \quad \forall k
$$

Using this inequality in Eq. (1), we obtain

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}+\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha \rho^{k}, \quad \forall k
$$

In order to arrive at a contradiction, suppose that $\rho^{k} \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}(1+\epsilon)$ for all $k$. Then

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\epsilon \alpha^{2}, \quad \forall k
$$

By summing these inequalities, we have

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{0}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\epsilon(k+1) \alpha^{2}, \quad \forall k,
$$

and by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain a contradiction. Therefore there must exist a $\bar{k}$ and a $\bar{\mu} \in L_{\bar{k}}$ such that

$$
\frac{\alpha(1+\epsilon)}{2}>\rho^{\bar{k}}=\min _{\mu \in L_{\bar{k}}}\left\|\mu-\mu^{*}\right\|=\left\|\bar{\mu}-\mu^{*}\right\|
$$

as desired.
(b) Let $\mu^{*} \in M^{*}$. Assume that $\mu^{k} \notin M^{*}$ for all $k$. Then similar to the proof in (a) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}+\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2}-2 \alpha^{k} r^{k}, \quad \forall k \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a>0$ be fixed and let $q^{*}=q\left(\mu^{*}\right)$. Consider the set $\left\{\mu \in M \mid q(\mu) \geq q^{*}-a\right\}$ and its boundary $\Gamma_{q^{*}-a}$. By assumption, the set $M^{*}$ is compact, so that $\Gamma_{q^{*}-a}$ is compact. Furthermore $M^{*} \cap \Gamma_{q^{*}-a}=\emptyset$. Hence we have

$$
\rho(a)=\min _{\mu \in \Gamma_{q^{*}-a}, \nu \in M^{*}}\|\mu-\nu\|>0 .
$$

Since $\alpha^{k} \rightarrow 0$, one can find $N_{\rho(a)}$ such that $\alpha^{k}<\rho(a)$ for all $k>N_{\rho(a)}$. If $q\left(\mu^{k}\right)<q^{*}-a$, then $\rho^{k}>\rho(a)$ and from Eq. (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}<\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\rho(a) \alpha^{k}, \quad \forall k>N_{\rho(a)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{k}=\infty$, there must exist $N_{a}>N_{\rho(a)}$ such that $q\left(\mu^{N_{a}}\right) \geq q^{*}-a$. Define

$$
d(a)=\max _{\nu \in \Gamma_{q^{*}-a}} \min _{\mu \in M^{*}}\|\nu-\mu\|
$$

Let $k>N_{a}$. If $q\left(\mu^{N_{a}}\right) \geq q^{*}-a$, then $\min _{\mu^{*} \in M^{*}}\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq d(a)$ and since

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|\mu^{k}+\alpha^{k} g^{k} /\right\| g^{k}\left\|-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|+\alpha^{k}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\mu^{*} \in M^{*}}\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq d(a)+\alpha^{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $q\left(\mu^{k}\right)<q^{*}-a$ then from Eq. (3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\mu^{*} \in M^{*}}\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq \min _{\mu^{*} \in M^{*}}\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Eqs. (4) and (5), we obtain

$$
\min _{\mu^{*} \in M^{*}}\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq d(a)+\max _{k>N_{a}} \alpha^{k}, \quad \forall k>N_{a}
$$

Since $d(a) \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, for any $\delta>0$ there exists $a_{\delta}$ such that $d\left(a_{\delta}\right) \leq \delta / 2$. Also, one can find an index $N_{\delta}$ such that $q\left(\mu^{N_{\delta}}\right) \geq q^{*}-a_{\delta}$ and $\alpha^{k} \leq \delta / 2$ for all $k>N_{\delta}$. Therefore

$$
\min _{\mu^{*} \in M^{*}}\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq \delta, \quad \forall k>N_{\delta}
$$

showing that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \min _{\mu^{*} \in M^{*}}\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|=0
$$

By continuity of $q$, we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right)=q^{*}$, which completes the proof.

### 7.5.11 (Incremental Subgradient Method with Diminishing Stepsize <br> [NeB01a]) www

Assumption (i) guarantees that the function $q(\mu)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}(\mu)$ is concave and has bounded level sets. Thus, the level sets of $q$ are compact, and hence the optimal solution set $M^{*}$ is nonempty and compact.

The proof of part (a) is tricky and is based on the assumptions (i) and (ii). The proof of part (b) combines the ideas of incremental gradient method analysis of Section 2.4, together with the line of proof of Exercise 7.5.10.
(a) Let $\mu^{*} \in M^{*}$ be an arbitrary optimal solution. By the nonexpansiveness property of the projection, we have

$$
\left\|\psi^{i, k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\psi^{i, k-1}+\alpha^{k} g^{i, k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\psi^{i-1, k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k} g^{i, k^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{*}-\psi^{i-1, k}\right)+\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2} C^{2}, \quad \forall i, k .
$$

Since $g^{i, k^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{*}-\psi^{i-1, k}\right) \geq q_{i}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q_{i}\left(\psi^{i-1, k}\right)$ for each $i$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(q_{i}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q_{i}\left(\psi^{i-1, k}\right)\right)+m\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2} C^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \geq 0$ and $\mu^{*} \in M^{*}$. Let $\hat{\alpha}$ be an upper bound for $\alpha^{k}$, and let $i_{0}$ be an index such that the level sets of $q_{i_{0}}$ are bounded. Define

$$
q^{*}=\max _{\mu \in M} q(\mu), \quad a=\frac{m}{2} \hat{\alpha} C^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}^{*}-q^{*}>0
$$

and

$$
L\left(a, \mu^{*}\right)=\left\{\nu \in M \mid q_{i_{0}}(\nu) \geq q_{i_{0}}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a\right\}
$$

Under assumption (i) the level set $L\left(a, \mu^{*}\right)$ is nonempty and compact for any $\mu^{*} \in M^{*}$. Note that for any $k$ either $q_{i_{0}}\left(\psi^{i_{0}-1, k}\right)<q_{i_{0}}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a \quad$ or $q_{i_{0}}\left(\psi^{i_{0}-1, k}\right) \geq q_{i_{0}}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a$. Suppose
that the former is the case. Since $q_{i}\left(\psi^{i-1, k}\right) \leq q_{i}^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(q_{i}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q_{i}\left(\psi^{i-1, k}\right)\right) & >\sum_{i \neq i_{0}}\left(q_{i}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q_{i}^{*}\right)+a \\
& =q_{i_{0}}^{*}-q_{i_{0}}\left(\mu^{*}\right)+\frac{m}{2} \hat{\alpha} C^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{m}{2} \hat{\alpha} C^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By combining this relation with Eq. (1), we obtain

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}<\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\alpha^{k} m C^{2}\left(\hat{\alpha}-\alpha^{k}\right) \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}
$$

where the last inequality follows from $0<\alpha^{k} \leq \hat{\alpha}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|<\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\| \quad \text { whenever } \quad q_{i_{0}}\left(\psi^{i_{0}-1, k}\right)<q_{i_{0}}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q_{i_{0}}\left(\psi^{i_{0}-1, k}\right) \geq q_{i_{0}}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a$, then the subiterate $\psi^{i_{0}-1, k}$ belongs to the level set $L\left(a, \mu^{*}\right)$. Therefore $\left\|\psi^{i_{0}-1, k}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq \operatorname{diam}\left(L\left(a, \mu^{*}\right)\right)$, where $\operatorname{diam}(\cdot)$ denotes the diameter of a set. Since the subgradients $g^{i, k}$ are bounded, it follows that

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\psi^{i_{0}-1, k}\right\|+\left\|\psi^{i_{0}-1, k}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq \hat{\alpha} m C+\operatorname{diam}\left(L\left(a, \mu^{*}\right)\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq \hat{\alpha} m C+\operatorname{diam}\left(L\left(a, \mu^{*}\right)\right) \quad \text { whenever } \quad q_{i_{0}}\left(\psi^{i_{0}-1, k}\right) \geq q_{i_{0}}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (2) and (3), we have

$$
\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq \max \left\{\hat{\alpha} m C+\operatorname{diam}\left(L\left(a, \mu^{*}\right)\right),\left\|\mu^{0}-\mu^{*}\right\|\right\} \quad \forall k \geq 0
$$

which completes the proof.
(b) Here we argue similar to the proof of Exercise 7.5.10(b). Since the stepsize is bounded, the sequence of the iterates $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ is also bounded as seen in part (a). Let

$$
C_{i}=\max \left\{C, \max _{k \geq 0}\left\{\|g\| \mid g \in \partial q_{i}\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right\}\right\}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi^{i, k}-\mu^{k}\right\| \leq \alpha^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{i} C_{j}, \quad \forall i, k \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k}\left(q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(q_{i}\left(\mu^{k}\right)-q_{i}\left(\psi^{i-1, k}\right)\right)\right)+m\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2} C^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k}\left(q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+2 \alpha^{k} \sum_{i=2}^{m} C_{i}\left\|\psi^{i-1, k}-\mu^{k}\right\|+m\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2} C^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k}\left(q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2}\left(2 \sum_{i=2}^{m} C_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} C_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& =\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k}\left(q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_{i}^{2}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the next-to-last inequality follows from Eq. (4), and we are using the facts $C \leq C_{i}$ and $q_{i}\left(\psi^{i-1, k}\right)-q_{i}\left(\mu^{k}\right) \leq g_{i}^{\prime}\left(\psi^{i-1, k}-\mu^{k}\right)$ for all $g_{i} \in \partial q_{i}\left(\mu^{k}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k}\left(q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2} \bar{C}^{2}, \quad \forall \mu^{*} \in M^{*}, \quad \forall k \geq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{C}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_{i}$. Let $a>0$ and $k_{0}$ such that $\alpha^{k} \leq a / \bar{C}^{2}$ for all $k \geq k_{0}$. If $q\left(\mu^{k}\right)<q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a$ for some $k \geq k_{0}$, then from Eq. (5) we have

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu_{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\alpha^{k}\left(2 a-\alpha^{k} \bar{C}^{2}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{dist}\left(\mu^{k+1}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left(\operatorname{dist}\left(\mu^{k}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2}-a \alpha^{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this relation cannot hold for all $k \geq k_{0}$, for otherwise the condition $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{k}=\infty$ will be violated. Hence, there is an integer $k_{1} \geq k_{0}$ for which $q\left(\mu^{k_{1}}\right) \geq q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a$. This means that the point $\mu^{k_{1}}$ belongs to the level set $L_{a}=\left\{\mu \in M \mid q(\mu) \geq q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a\right\}$, which is compact, so that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\mu^{k_{1}}, M^{*}\right) \leq \max _{\mu \in L_{a}} \operatorname{dist}\left(\mu, M^{*}\right)<\infty
$$

Denote

$$
d(a)=\max _{\mu \in L_{a}} \operatorname{dist}\left(\mu, M^{*}\right)
$$

Since $\left\|\mu^{k_{1}+1}-\mu^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|\mu^{k_{1}}-\mu^{*}\right\|+\alpha^{k_{1}} \bar{C}$, we have that $\operatorname{dist}\left(\mu^{k_{1}+1}, M^{*}\right) \leq d(a)+\alpha^{k_{1}} \bar{C}$. Hence for $k \geq k_{1}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\mu^{k+1}, M^{*}\right)<d\left(\mu^{k}, M^{*}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad q\left(\mu^{k}\right)<q^{*}-a
$$

[cf. Eq. (6)] and

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\mu^{k+1}, M^{*}\right) \leq d(a)+\alpha^{k} \bar{C} \quad \text { if } \quad q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \geq q^{*}-a
$$

Combining these relations, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\mu^{k}, M^{*}\right) \leq d(a)+\bar{C} \max _{k \geq k_{1}} \alpha^{k}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{1}
$$

Note, using Eq. (6), that the set of indices $\left\{k \mid q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \geq q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a\right\}$ is unbounded for any choice of $a>0$. Since $\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} d(a)=0$, given any $\epsilon>0$, there is $\delta>0$ such that for $0<a<\delta$ we have $d(a) \leq \epsilon / 2$. Let the index $k_{\delta}$ be such that $q\left(\mu^{k_{\delta}}\right) \geq q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-a$ and $\alpha^{k} \leq \epsilon /(2 \bar{C})$ for all $k \geq k_{\delta}$. Then $\operatorname{dist}\left(\mu^{k}, M^{*}\right) \leq \epsilon$ for all $k \geq k_{\delta}$, i.e. $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}\left(\mu^{k}, M^{*}\right)=0$. The continuity of $q$ implies that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right)=q\left(\mu^{*}\right)=\max _{\mu \in M} q(\mu)
$$

(c) By dropping the term $2 \alpha^{k}\left(q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)$ in Eq. (5) and by summing the obtained inequalities over $k$ for $n \leq k \leq N$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{N+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{n}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}+\bar{C}^{2} \sum_{k=n}^{N}\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2}, \quad \forall \mu^{*} \in M^{*}, \quad \forall n, N, n<N \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ is bounded, there exist $\hat{\mu}$ and $\left\{\mu^{k_{j}}\right\} \subset\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu^{k_{j}}=\hat{\mu}$. The set $M$ is closed, so that $\hat{\mu} \in M$. As seen in part (b), we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right)=q^{*}$, and therefore $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k_{j}}\right)=q^{*}$. Hence $\hat{\mu} \in M^{*}$. By setting $\mu^{*}=\hat{\mu}$ and $n=k_{j}$ in Eq. (7), where $j$ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$
\left\|\mu^{N+1}-\hat{\mu}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k_{j}}-\hat{\mu}\right\|^{2}+\bar{C}^{2} \sum_{k=k_{j}}^{N}\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2}, \quad \forall N>k_{j}
$$

By letting first $N \rightarrow \infty$ and then $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mu^{N+1}-\hat{\mu}\right\|^{2} \leq \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|\mu^{k_{j}}-\hat{\mu}\right\|^{2}+\bar{C}^{2} \sum_{k=k_{j}}^{\infty}\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2}\right)=0
$$

and therefore $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mu^{k}-\hat{\mu}\right\|=0$.

### 7.5.12 (Incremental Subgradient Method with Dynamically Changing

 Stepsize [NeB01a])The proof combines the arguments of the proofs of Exercise 7.5.1 and 7.5.11(b). Similar to the proof of Exercise 7.5.11(a), we have for any $\mu^{*} \in M^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(q_{i}\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q_{i}\left(\psi^{i-1, k}\right)\right)+m\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2} C_{i}^{2}, \quad \forall \mu^{*} \in M^{*}, \quad \forall k \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi^{i, k}-\mu^{k}\right\| \leq \alpha^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{i} C_{j}, \quad \forall i, k \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (1) we have [as in the proof of Exercise 7.5.11(b)]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(q_{i}\left(\mu^{k}\right)-q_{i}\left(\psi^{i-1, k}\right)\right)\right)+m\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2} C_{i}^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+2 \alpha^{k} \sum_{i=2}^{m} C_{i}\left\|\psi^{i-1, k}-\mu^{k}\right\|+m\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2} C_{i}^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2}\left(2 \sum_{i=2}^{m} C_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} C_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& =\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k}\left(q\left(\mu^{*}\right)-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the next-to-last inequality follows from Eq. (2). Therefore

$$
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha^{k}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+\left(\alpha^{k}\right)^{2} C^{2}, \quad \forall \mu^{*} \in M^{*}, \quad \forall k \geq 0
$$

Assume that $\mu^{k} \notin M^{*}$ for all $k$. By substituting the expression for $\alpha^{k}$ in the above relation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{k}\left(2-\gamma^{k}\right) \frac{\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)^{2}}{C^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\gamma_{l}\left(2-\gamma_{u}\right) \frac{\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)^{2}}{C^{2}}, \quad \forall k \geq 0, \quad \forall \mu^{*} \in M^{*} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|<\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sequence $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ is bounded. Next we will show that every limit point of $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ belongs to $M^{*}$. Let $\left\{\mu^{k_{j}}\right\} \subset\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ and let $\bar{\mu}$ be such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mu^{k_{j}}-\bar{\mu}\right\|=0$. Since the set $M$ is closed, we have $\bar{\mu} \in M$. Suppose that $q(\bar{\mu})<q^{*}$, i.e., $\bar{\mu} \notin M^{*}$. Since $q$ is continuous, we can find a scalar $\delta>0$ and an index $j_{0}$ such that

$$
q\left(\mu^{k_{j}}\right)<q^{*}-\delta, \quad \forall j \geq j_{0}
$$

This, combined with Eqs. (3) and (4), implies that

$$
\left\|\mu^{k_{j+1}}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mu^{k_{j}}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\gamma_{l}\left(2-\gamma_{u}\right) \delta^{2}}{C^{2}} \leq \cdots \leq\left\|\mu^{k_{j_{0}}}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-\left(j+1-j_{0}\right) \frac{\gamma_{l}\left(2-\gamma_{u}\right) \delta^{2}}{C^{2}}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\bar{\mu} \in M^{*}$. Note that the sequence of norms $\left\{\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|\right\}$ is strictly decreasing for any $\mu^{*} \in M^{*}$, so for any $\mu^{*}$ it converges to $\left\|\bar{\mu}-\mu^{*}\right\|$. Finally, to show that $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$ has a unique limit point, note that if $\hat{\mu} \in M^{*}$ and $\bar{\mu} \in M^{*}$ are limit points of the sequence $\left\{\mu^{k}\right\}$, we would have $\left\|\bar{\mu}-\mu^{*}\right\|=\left\|\hat{\mu}-\mu^{*}\right\|$ for all $\mu^{*} \in M^{*}$, which is possible only if $\hat{\mu}=\bar{\mu}$. This completes the proof.

### 7.5.13 ( $\epsilon$-Complementary Slackness and Approximate Subgradients)

www

For the separable problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
\text { subject to } & \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i j}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq 0, \quad j=1, \ldots, r, \quad \alpha_{i} \leq x_{i} \leq \beta_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
\end{array}
$$

where $f_{i}: \Re \mapsto \Re, g_{i j}: \Re \mapsto \Re$ are convex functions, the dual function is

$$
q(\mu)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min _{\alpha_{i} \leq x_{i} \leq \beta_{i}}\left\{f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} g_{i j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\} .
$$

Let $(\bar{x}, \bar{\mu})$ satisfy $\epsilon$-complementary slackness as defined in the problem statement, and let $g(\bar{x})$ be the $r$-dimensional vector with $j$ th component $\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)$. We will show that

$$
q(\mu) \leq q(\bar{\mu})+\bar{\epsilon}+g(\bar{x})^{\prime}(\mu-\bar{\mu}), \quad \forall \mu \in \Re^{r}
$$

where

$$
\bar{\epsilon}=\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\beta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) .
$$

Indeed, we have for any $\mu \in \Re^{r}$

$$
\begin{align*}
q(\mu) & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{j} g_{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right\}+\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(\mu_{j}-\bar{\mu}_{j}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right\}+g(\bar{x})^{\prime}(\mu-\bar{\mu}) .
\end{align*}
$$

For all $i$ and all $x_{i} \in\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]$, we have from the properties of directional derivatives and the convexity of the function $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}\left(x_{i}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq f_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)+\gamma_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}d_{i}^{+}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right) & \text { if } \bar{x}_{i}=\alpha_{i}  \tag{3}\\ d_{i}^{-}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right) & \text { if } \bar{x}_{i}=\beta_{i} \\ \max \left\{d_{i}^{-}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right), d_{i}^{+}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right\} & \text { if } \alpha_{i}<\bar{x}_{i}<\beta_{i}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
d_{i}^{-}=f_{i}^{-}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}^{-}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right), \quad d_{i}^{+}=f_{i}^{+}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}^{+}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)
$$

are the left and right derivatives of $f_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}$ at $\bar{x}_{i}$. Using the $\epsilon$-complementary slackness definition, we have

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
-\epsilon \leq d_{i}^{+} \quad & \text { if } & \bar{x}_{i}=\alpha_{i}, \\
d_{i}^{-} \leq \epsilon & \text { if } & \bar{x}_{i}=\beta_{i}, \\
-\epsilon \leq d_{i}^{-} \leq d_{i}^{+} \leq \epsilon & \text { if } & \alpha_{i}<\bar{x}_{i}<\beta_{i} .
\end{array}
$$

Using the above relations in Eq. (3), we see that

$$
\gamma_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq-\epsilon\left(\beta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) .
$$

so Eq. (2) yields

$$
f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq f_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)-\epsilon\left(\beta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right), \quad \forall i, \forall x_{i} \in\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right] .
$$

By minimizing over $x_{i} \in\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]$ and adding over $i$, and using the definition of the dual function $q(\bar{\mu})$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \bar{\mu}_{j} g_{i j}\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right\} \leq q(\bar{\mu})+\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\beta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right),
$$

which combined with Eq. (1), yields the desired relation

$$
q(\mu) \leq q(\bar{\mu})+\bar{\epsilon}+g(\bar{x})^{\prime}(\mu-\bar{\mu})
$$

### 7.5.14 (Subgradient Methods with Low Level Errors [NeB10]) www

For any $\mu \in M$, let us denote

$$
d\left(\mu, M^{*}\right)=\min _{\mu \in M^{*}}\left\|\mu-\mu^{*}\right\| .
$$

We first show that for all $k$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d\left(\mu^{k+1}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left(d\left(\mu^{k}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2}-\frac{2 s^{k}(\gamma-\beta)}{\gamma}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}(\delta+\beta)^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, using the definition of $\mu^{k+1}$, the nonexpansive property of projection, the subgradient inequality, and the assumptions $\left\|r^{k}\right\| \leq \beta$ and $\left\|g^{k}\right\| \leq \delta$, we have for all $\mu^{*} \in M^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(d\left(\mu^{k+1}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2} & \leq\left\|\mu^{k+1}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}+s^{k}\left(g^{k}+r^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}+2 s^{k}\left(g^{k}+r^{k}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right)+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}+r^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}+2 s^{k} g^{k^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right)+2 s^{k}\left\|r^{k}\right\|\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|g^{k}+r^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 s^{k}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+2 s^{k} \beta\left\|\mu^{k}-\mu^{*}\right\|+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}(\delta+\beta)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we let $\mu^{*}$ be the projection of $\mu^{k}$ on $M^{*}$, and use the assumption

$$
q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right) \leq \gamma \min _{\mu^{*} \in M^{*}}\left\|\mu-\mu^{*}\right\|
$$

we obtain the desired relation (1).
Consider the case of a constant stepsize,

$$
s^{k}=s, \quad \forall k=0,1, \ldots
$$

and to arrive at a contradiction, assume that for some nonnegative integer $\bar{k}$ and some $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s \gamma(\delta+\beta)^{2}}{2(\gamma-\beta)}+\epsilon<q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right), \quad \forall k \geq \bar{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Eq. (1) with $s^{k}=s$, we obtain

$$
\left(d\left(\mu^{k+1}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left(d\left(\mu^{k}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2}-\frac{2 s(\gamma-\beta)}{\gamma}\left(q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right)\right)+s^{2}(\delta+\beta)^{2}
$$

which combined with Eq. (2), yields

$$
\left(d\left(\mu^{k+1}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left(d\left(\mu^{k}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2}-\frac{2 s(\gamma-\beta)}{\gamma}\left(\frac{s \gamma(\delta+\beta)^{2}}{2(\gamma-\beta)}+\epsilon\right)+s^{2}(\delta+\beta)^{2}
$$

or

$$
\left(d\left(\mu^{k+1}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left(d\left(\mu^{k}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2}-\frac{2 s(\gamma-\beta)}{\gamma} \epsilon, \quad \forall k \geq \bar{k}
$$

Since $\gamma>\beta$, this relation cannot hold for infinitely many $k$, thereby arriving at a contradiction.
The proof that $\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\mu^{k}\right)=q^{*}$ is similar. To arrive at a contradiction, we assume that for some nonnegative integer $\bar{k}$ and some $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$
\epsilon<q^{*}-q\left(\mu^{k}\right), \quad \forall k \geq \bar{k}
$$

and we apply Eq. (1) to obtain

$$
\left(d\left(\mu^{k+1}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left(d\left(\mu^{k}, M^{*}\right)\right)^{2}-\frac{2 s^{k}(\gamma-\beta)}{\gamma} \epsilon+\left(s^{k}\right)^{2}(\delta+\beta)^{2}, \quad \forall k \geq \bar{k}
$$

Since $s^{k} \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^{k}=\infty$, this is a contradiction.

